

EUROPEAN COMMISSION Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency

Department A: Erasmus+, EU Solidarity Corps Erasmus+: Higher Education - International Capacity Building

> Brussels 9/12/2020 Appfin (2020)016441 *File code: 2018-2579*

Prof. Dr. Ivanka Popovic Vice-Rector Univerzitet U Beogradu Rectorate Studentski Trg 1 122 Rs – 11000 Beograd

Email: <u>kabinet@rect.bg.ac.rs</u> Cc: <u>nada.dragovic@sfb.bg.ac.rs</u>

Subject: 598403-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP Technical Implementation Report of the project Statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment

Dear Ms Popovic,

We would like to inform you and your project partners that we have carried out the assessment of your Technical Implementation Report submitted on 04 August 2020 and we are pleased to inform you that it has been approved.

Our assessment looks at the partnership's performance as regards the efficient implementation of the project. It takes into account the Technical Implementation Report but also the desk monitoring conducted by the EACEA since the start of the eligibility period and, the field monitoring visits carried out so far. The project implementation should not only respect the CBHE requirements but it should also be in line with the original proposal included under Annex I of the Grant Agreement.

In this context and in accordance with the Grant Agreement and with the Guidelines for the Use of the Grant, your project implementation has been qualified as '**GOOD**' (please refer to the Categories of qualification below). The Comments and recommendations sheet in Annex of the letter provides more detailed feedback.

We would like to draw your attention on the fact that we have requested some additional information/clarifications to be provided within one month of the signature of this letter.

In addition, we remind you that your project is now online on the <u>Erasmus+ Project Results</u> <u>Platform</u>, so as to ensure transparency and visibility of EU funding. We invite you to update your project data and to upload your project results. Please note though that these results will become publicly available only after the validation of your final report by the Agency.

Finally, we would like to thank you for your "Statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment and Request for Payment" received on 04 August 2020.

We take this opportunity to remind you that in order to receive your second pre-financing instalment you will have to submit at your best convenience a "Request for Payment and Statement of the Costs incurred".

Please note that the second pre-financing will be paid only if your "Statement of the Costs incurred" shows that 70% of the previous pre-financing amount has been spent.

Please note that the eligibility of the costs incurred (excel file) will only be verified at Final Report stage (art. II.24 and II.25 of the Grant Agreement). Therefore this feedback letter does not imply the approval of the costs declared in this reporting exercise.

Should you require any further information, please contact Giulia Moro (Tel: +32 2 29 51658, e-mail: Giulia.Moro @ec.europa.eu . We also encourage you to regularly consult our Erasmus+ website https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/erasmus-plus/beneficiaries-space_en.

We wish you a successful continuation of your activities.

Yours sincerely,

Head of Unit

Copy: NEO BiH office@erasmusbih.com Annex: Comments and recommendations

Categories of qualification:

Very good (at least 75 pts out of 100): the project is implemented in accordance with its original work programme and timetable; the report provides all the information and evidence needed and there are no particular concerns or areas of weakness.

Good (between 74 and 60 pts out of 100): the project progresses in accordance with its original work programme and timetable but some improvements could be made; the report gives clear information on all or nearly all of the evidence needed.

Fair (between 59 and 50 pts): there are weaknesses and concerns in the project implementation; although the report gives some relevant information, details are lacking and/or the information is unclear; there are several areas in the implementation that must be improved during the second half of the project.

Weak (less than 50 pts out of 100): the project is not respecting its original work plan/timetable and/or is not fulfilling the CBHE requirements; the report fails to address important aspects of the project implementation that cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information; in accordance with article 1.10.6 of the Grant Agreement and section 3.5.2.2 of the Guidelines for the Use of the Grant, the maximum grant will be reduced at final report stage if no substantial improvements are observed during the second half of the project.