

Partner institution: UNIVERSITY OF BANJA LUKA Faculty of Forestry

Prof. dr Marijana Kapović Solomun Doc. dr Vanja Daničić







WORKING PACKAGE 3 **DEVELOPMENT**

Implementation of developed curricula and trainings









RESULTS/OUTCOMES

3.3. Evaluation of syllabi

Description

The evaluation of the syllabuses of the new and modernized subjects will be conducted by the teachers of the EU partner countries P7, P9 and by those from Macedonia, P8. Students will also be evaluating the programs and special questionnaires will be created for that purpose. The questionnaires will be prepared by the teachers at Serbian and Bosnian-Herzegovinian universities in cooperation with the other Project participants.

Type Report

Due date 6 weeks

Languages Serbian, Bosnian

Target groups Teaching staff, students









RESULTS/OUTCOMES

3.4. Report of bachelor and master curricula quality

Description

Report on Quality of Developed Subjects shall be submitted by P4 (UNIBL-REPUBLIC OF SRPSKA (B&H), who is the WP leader. Separately from that, QAC will provide a regular report on the assessment of the quality of the curricula on the basis of the report prepared by the WP leader. The Report shall contain the analysis of the results obtained from the questionnaire that will have been filled out by the students and the assessment of the EU universities' project participants.

Type Report

Due date 8 weeks

Languages Serbian, Bosnian

Target groups Teaching staff, students

Dissemination level Department, Faculty









<u>Deadline</u> for the second Report on activity 3.3, is **June 01, 2021.**

Report is submitted by UBL and UBG.

Report is given based on average values of questionnaires for each subject on Bachelor and Master study by students, who listened those subjects in the **SUMMER SEMESTER** 2020/2021.









Study program title: Forestry
Type and Level of Study: Basic
Academic Studies (Bachelor)

Subject: Forest soils

Total of 12 student are interviewed.

Question	Poor	ОК	Good	Very good	Excellent
How do you rate competencies of teaching staff regarding new/improved curricula?	0,00	16,67	33,33	25,00	25,00
Rate quality of teaching material.	0,00	8,33	25,00	41,67	25,00
How do you assess access to literature and database?	8,33	8,33	33,33	16,67	33,33
Rate learning obligations.	0,00	8,33	41,67	25,00	25,00
The overall rating of new/modernized curricula.	0,00	0,00	50,00	16,67	33,33
Tempo.	0,00	8,33	58,33	16,67	16,67
Laboratory and field equipment.	16,67	16,67	50,00	0,00	16,67
Practical exercises or field work (if any).	8,33	33,33	16,67	16,67	25,00
Do new subjects increase chance for employment of engineers?	0,00	25,00	8,33	50,00	16,67
Do new subjects improve competencies of engineers?	8,33	16,67	16,67	33,33	25,00
Final comment and recommendations:	NO				










- Overall rate of modernized curricula of subject Forest soils is very good.
- The weakest rate was given capacities of laboratories and equipment and field exercise.











Study program title: Forestry
Type and Level of Study: Basic
Academic Studies (Bachelor)

Subject: Forest utilization II

Total of 13 student are interviewed.

Question	Poor	ОК	Good	Very good	Excellent
How do you rate competencies of teaching staff regarding new/improved curricula?	0,00	7,69	23,08	38,46	30,77
Rate quality of teaching material.	0,00	15,38	23,08	53,85	7,69
How do you assess access to literature and database?	0,00	0,00	15,38	84,62	0,00
Rate learning obligations.	0,00	0,00	53,85	30,77	15,38
The overall rating of new/modernized curricula.	0,00	7,69	15,38	69,23	7,69
Tempo.	0,00	7,69	38,46	30,77	23,08
Laboratory and field equipment.	30,77	23,08	30,77	15,38	0,00
Practical exercises or field work (if any).	15,38	30,77	38,46	7,69	7,69
Do new subjects increase chance for employment of engineers?	0,00	7,69	61,54	23,08	7,69
Do new subjects improve competencies of engineers?	0,00	0,00	61,54	30,77	7,69
Final comment and recommendations:	NO				










- Overall rate of modernized curricula of subject Forest utilization is good.
- The weakest rate was given capacities of laboratories and equipment and field exercise.











- Overall rate of modernized curricula of subject Forest soils is very good. The weakest rate was given capacities of laboratories and equipment and field exercise that are not sufficient which is confirmed by the interviewed students here. Students are very satisfied with competencies of teaching staff regarding new/improved curricula. Important aspect is that students consider that competencies of engineers with this curricula increase, as well as chance for future employment.
- Overall rate of modernized curricula of subject Forest Utilization II also is very good. Students are
 very satisfied with competencies of teaching staff regarding new/improved curricula. The
 weakest rate was given capacities of laboratories and equipment and field exercise that are not
 sufficient. Slightly lower grades are related to questions about rate quality of teaching material
 and employment opportunities for graduate engineers.









UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE

Bachelor study program

Question	Poor	OK	Good	Very good	Excellent	
How do you rate competencies of teaching staff regarding new/improved curricula?	0,00	0,00	17,86	21,43	47,62	
Rate quality of teaching material.	0,00	0,00	14,29	35,71	33,33	
How do you assess access to literature and database?	0,00	0,00	10,71	35,71	38,10	
Rate learning obligations.	0,00	3,57	17,86	21,43	42,86	
The overall rating of new/modernized curricula.	0,00	0,00	17,86	32,14	38,10	
Tempo.	0,00	17,86	17,86	28,57	19,05	
Laboratory and field equipment.	0,00	14,29	14,29	28,57	23,81	
Practical exercises or field work (if any).	0,00	10,71	10,71	42,86	14,29	
Do new subjects increase chance for employment of engineers?	3,57	3,57	3,57	25,00	52,38	
Do new subjects improve competencies of engineers?	0,00	7,14	17,86	25,00	33,33	
Final comment and recommendations:	The unstable climatic conditions have drawn attention to the problem of erosion and flood protection, which has given our profession a greater reputation.					










UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE

Bachelor study program











UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE

Master study program

Question	Poor	ОК	Good	Very good	Excellent	
How do you rate competencies of teaching staff regarding new/improved curricula?	0,00	0,00	28,57	28,57	33,33	
Rate quality of teaching material.	0,00	0,00	35,71	35,71	16,67	
How do you assess access to literature and database?	0,00	0,00	35,71	21,43	33,33	
Rate learning obligations.	0,00	0,00	42,86	14,29	33,33	
The overall rating of new/modernized curricula.	0,00	0,00	7,14	42,86	41,67	
Tempo.	0,00	7,14	21,43	21,43	41,67	
Laboratory and field equipment.	14,29	28,57	28,57	7,14	8,33	
Practical exercises or field work (if any).	0,00	21,43	35,71	0,00	33,33	
Do new subjects increase chance for employment of engineers?	0,00	28,57	21,43	21,43	16,67	
Do new subjects improve competencies of engineers?	0,00	14,29	42,86	14,29	16,67	
Final comment and recommendations:	Improve conditions for field and laboratory research.					







CONCLUSIONS



Bachelor and Master study - students

Modernized study programs of Bachelor and Master studies of Environmental Ecological engineering for soil and water resources protectionas, well as the competence of the teaching staff, were evaluated with a very high grade, as before. Slightly lower grades are related to questions about database and available literature, employment opportunities for graduate engineers. This generation of students believes that it is necessary to modernize equipment, laboratory and improve field work. Therefore, the grades for that issue are lower. In addition, students believe that the new master course will increase interest in this issue.









UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD

Study program title: Water

Management

Type and Level of Study: Basic Academic Studies (Bachelor)

Subjects:

Engineering Hydrology River engineering Bioregulation Soil Conservation Structures

Total of 12 student are interviewed.

Question	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excellent
				good	
How do you rate competencies of					
teaching staff regarding new/improved					
curricula?	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29
Rate quality of teaching material.	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	0.00
How do you assess access to literature					
and database?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00
Rate learning obligations.	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00
The overall rating of new/modernized					
curricula.	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00
Tempo.	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00
Laboratory and field equipment.	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14
Practical exercises or field work (if					
any).	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00
Do new subjects increase chance for					
employment of engineers?	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	0.00
Do new subjects improve competencies	14.23	20.37	20.37	20.37	0.00
of engineers?					
or engineers.	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29
Final comment and recommendations:	NO				










UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD











UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD

Study program title: Water Management
Type and Level of Study: Master Academic Studies (Master)

Subject:
Soil and Water Conservation

Total of 5 student has been interviewed.

Question	Poor	OK	Good	Very	Excellen
				good	t
How do you rate competencies of					
teaching staff regarding					
new/improved curricula?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00
Rate quality of teaching material.	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00
How do you assess access to					
literature and database?	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	12.50
Rate learning obligations.	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	0.00
The overall rating of					
new/modernized curricula.	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00
Tempo.					
	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	0.00
Laboratory and field equipment.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50
Practical exercises or field work (if					
any).	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50
Do new subjects increase chance for					
employment of engineers?	0.00	12.50	12.50	12.50	0.00
Do new subjects improve					
competencies of engineers?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	12.50
Final comment and	NO				
recommendations:					








CONCLUSIONS





Bachelor and Master study - students

Overall rate of modernized subjects on Bachelor and Master study is high (very good and excellent). The weakest rate was given for the question Do new subjects increase chance for employment of engineers?

Students opinion is that they are not confident that the improvement of curricula will significally improved chances for employment, which could be related to the socio economic situation in Serbia.











THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!





