

Modification of some parameters in EPM and RUSLE methodology

Blinkov Ivan¹, Trendafilov Aleksandar¹, Mukaetov Dusko², Mincev Ivan¹, Trendafilov Bozin¹ University Ss. Cyril and Methodius in Skopje ¹Hans Em Faculty of Forest Sciences, Landscape Architecture and Environmental Engineering ²Agricultural Institute

Reference Number: 598403-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"





Република Северна Македонија

Министерство за животна средина и просторно планирање



Republika e Maqedonisë së Veriut

Ministria e Mjedisit Jetësor dhe Planifikimit Hapësinor

Project "Achieving Biodiversity Conservation through Creation and Effective Management of Protected Areas and Mainstreaming Biodiversity into Land Use Planning" Project component 3.1.1.1.: "Preparation of soil erosion and drought vulnerability map, and identification of high-risk zones and their impact to biodiversity" 2019-2021

Blinkov I., (TL, 1,2,3,4,5,6) Trendafilov A.,(1,6) Mincev I.,(1,2,3,4,5), Trendafilov B.,(1,2,5) Mukaetov D.,(2) Monevska Alcinova S., (3,4,) Stevkov A.,(3,4,) Stevkova S.,(3,6) Donchevska Blinkova M.(6),

Project tasks

- **<u>1-</u>**Erosion modelling using EPM Erosion Potential Method
- 2 Erosion modelling using RUSLE Revised Universal soil loss equation
- 3- Aridity modelling
- 4 Drought vulnerability modelling
- 5 Delineation of Region vulnerable to desertification
- 6 Identification High risk zones and impact on the biodiversity







Erosion intensity maps using RUSLE and EPM



RUSLE modelling $E = R \times K \times C \times LS \times P$ [t/ha]

TO

R

• Map was developed following the methodology/approach used during preparation of EU erosion map (Panagos et al, JRC, 2015).





$$R = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{mj} (EI30)k$$

- The only parameter that was problematic was R-factor (rainfall erosivity factor) for whose calculation is necessary long-term data on rainfall intensity on various pluviometric stations.
- This type of data doesn't exist in the country.

• How to solve the problem ?





Global R - data (Panagos et al)



 The accuracy of the model is 30 arc.sec and (~1 km) based on the Gaussian regression model, The Balkan countries for which there is data for calculating the R-factor are: GRE, BG, ROM, HU, CR and Slovenia, while there is no relevant data for North Macedonia, Serbia, Montenegro, BiH and Albania

- Various authors faced with the same problem, tried to solve this based on a annual sum of precipitations.
- More then 20 various equations developed in various regions in the world, were tested and more of them resulted in very high values (even more then 4000) because of regional circumstances.

Author	Year	Equation	Region
Bois	1978	$R = 2.5 P^2 / 100 (0.073^{P} + 0.73)$	
Mikhailova, Bryant,	1997	R = -3172 + 7.562P	Honduras
Schwager, Smith			
Torri et al	2006	R = -944 + 3.08P	USA (continental)
Renard, Fremund,	1994	R = 0.04830 P ^{1.51}	
Yu and Rosewell	1996	R = 0.0438 P ^{1.61}	Australia
Torri et all	2006	R = - 944 + 3.08P	Malaysia
Zacchi		R = (1,1 – 1,5) P	Italia - Tuscany
Hurni	1985	R = 0,55*P-24,7	Ethiopia, Egypt
Sung	1981	R = 79+0,363*P	Entire India
Rambabu	1979	R = 81,5+0,375*P	India
Eltaif	2010	R = 23,61*e ^(0,048*P)	Jordan
Harper	1987	R = 38,5+0,35*P	Thailand
Rogler & Schwertmann	1081	B = 10(-1.48 + 1.48 * Ps)	Germany - Bayaria

stations was calculated as mean value of the results from selected equations.

Table 11 - Calculation of R-factor by "real" equations for further modelling

MS	Р	Ps	Zachi	Hurni	Sungh	Rambabu	Eltaif	Harper	GERM	Average
Stip	455,1	287	592	226	244	252	516	198	<mark>693</mark>	389
Skopje	478,6	287,9	622	239	253	261	542	206	695	403
Prilep	510,1	307,6	663	256	264	273	578	217	744	428
D. Kapija	554,8	281,5	721	280	280	290	629	233	680	445
Strumica	564,4	311,4	734	286	284	293	640	236	753	461
Pretor	594,1	304,4	772	302	295	304	673	246	736	476
Berovo	611,1	378,1	794	311	301	311	693	252	918	511
Bitola	619,9	321,6	806	316	304	314	703	255	778	497
K. Palanka	624,7	395	812	319	306	316	708	257	960	525
Gevgelija	678,6	334,1	882	349	325	336	769	276	809	535
Ohrid	689,9	335,6	897	355	329	340	782	280	813	542
N. Dojran	700,0	393,5	910	360	333	344	793	284	956	569
S. Glava	838,6	485,6	1090	437	383	396	950	332	1183	68 2
Krusevo	881,3	457,9	1146	460	399	412	999	347	1115	697
P. Sapka	883,4	530,1	1148	461	400	413	1001	348	1293	723
Mavrovo	993,5	467,7	1292	522	440	454	1126	386	1139	765
Lazaropole	1060,4	504,6	1379	559	464	479	1202	410	1230	817



- Then using linear regression with altitude was developed map of R-factor of the country.
- y = 0,1471x + 431,57 or R = 0,1471H + 431,57
- The value of coefficient of correlation r was 0,72 strong uphill linear correlation. The next step was to implement the function of the elevation into continuous map. Using the raster calculator in GIS environment, the produced equations were used to calculate the raster continuous layers for the whole country.







The average value of the R-factor in the country is 533, ranging from 437 in the vicinity of Krivolak in the central part of the country, to 835 in the southwestern part of Šar Planiana and Korab.



Comparison with values along the border with Greece and Bulgaria



- According to Panagos et al. (2014, 2015), in Greece along the border, in the western values for R are between 400 and 600, while in the central and eastern part between 340 - 490. and in the northern part 340-410. In the high mountains, these values are already present.
- According to the Global R-map (Panagos et al., 2017), values in North Macedonia are generally between 400-700, while in the western part of the country they are > 700.
- For planning works in the western part of the country where the height above sea level reaches 2764 m Korab peak), the following values are taken as a guide: Rila Bulgaria (2935 m above sea level), as well as Olimp 2905 Greece where R is the factor 730-900 (map from 2014-5) or 700-1130 (map form 2017).
- It means that our approach for R factor is an appropriate



Erosion modelling using EPM by Gavrilovic

- On-field mapping of Z (erosion coefficient) and supportive parameters (expert judgment)
- Modelling [Z] using the equation $Z = \gamma * Xa * (\varphi + \sqrt{I_{sr}})$

Modelling [Wsp] using the equation

$$Wsp = T \cdot H_{ann} \cdot \pi \cdot \sqrt{z^3}$$





Erosion modelling using EPM by Gavrilovic

$$Z = \gamma * Xa * (\varphi + \sqrt{I_{sr}})$$

- Step 1 analytical modelling of the parameters and final map using the original tables
- Step 2 filed trip direct mapping (expert judgment)
- Step 3 comparison between 2 models
- if there were larger difference it was checked why it has happen
- it cause necessary of changes in the original tables
- Step 4 changes in the original tables for various parameters



4. Erosion modelling using EPM

nula for Z-calculations is presented below:

$$Z = \gamma * Xa * (\varphi + \sqrt{I_{sr}})$$

- erosion coefficient by Gavrilovic
- reciprocal value of the coefficient of resistance of the soil to erosion (0,25< γ <2,00)
- coefficient of regulation of the basin and it takes in consideration the level of protection of the and nerosive forces in natural conditions (X) and artificial conditions (a) (0.01<Xa<1.00).
- numerical equivalent of visible and clearly articulated process of erosion in the watershed
- average slope of the watershed expressed in decimal value
- inal supportive tables for defining necessary parameters for Z calculation as well as the original hodological approach were modified.



- Within the original methodology was used an old soil classification system by Skoric, Ciric and Filipovski. Here is used WRB (World Reference Base) classification.
- Classification of rock types is the same.
- Beside it, in various previous calculation of this parameter realized by foreign colleagues was used only geology map that is not correct because over the parent rocks there are soil layers with different depth. Thus e.g. there is magmatic rock where value is 0,25 but over is soil layer e.g. cambisol with value 0,8 (big difference)
- The main princip is that above the rock layer is soil that is directly exposed to erosion forces: water, wind, sun etc. especially in a case of bare soil.
- Taking in consideration all above, the original table was modified with change of soil types according to WRB classification and including missing soil types

Table 4 - Values of γ coefficient - (modified by Blinkov and Mukaetov, 2020)

No.	Soil and rock types	γ
1.	Sand, gravel and un-cohesive soils, Urbic Anthrosol (deposol), Talus	2,0
	cones	
2.	Arenosols, Stepic soil - Salty soils (Solonetz and Solonchak), Tuff,	1,6
3.	Aric/Spolic Regosol (Regosol) , Leptosol (Lithosol), Colluvium	1,4
	(Coluviual), Volcanic breccia	
4.	Rendzic leptosol (Calcomelanosol), Decomposed limestone and marl,	1,2
	Flish sediments,	
5	Rendzina, Serpentinite,	1,1
6	Podzol, parapodzol, decomposed schist, mica schists, argyloschist,	1,0
	gneiss	
7	Ferric Luvisol on hard limestones (Terra rosa), Humic Eutric regosol	0,9
	leptosols (Ranker), Vigor and shaled limestones,	
8	Eutric and District Cambisol, Chromic Leptic Luvisol on hard	0,8
	limestones (Calcocambisol), Chromic Luvisol on saprolite (Chromic	
	Cambisol)	
9	Vertisol, Gleysoil, Molic fluvisols,	0,6
10	Fluvisol - Alluvial soils with good structure, Chernozem,	0,5
11	Bare compact eruptive rocks	0,25



γ −
reciprocal
value of the
coefficient
of resistance
of the soil to
erosion





Xa – coefficient of protection of the basin/area and it takes in consideration the level of protection of the and from erosive forces in natural conditions (X) and artificial conditions (a)

- This coefficient varies from 0.01 to 1.00. The most significant changes from all parameters were done in the tables and approaches for defining this parameter.
- Taking in consideration modelling on a level of the country , as a basic land cover data were used available sources especially CORINE LCU 2018 and FAO land cover (2017).
- Firstly, within the tables were included LC class according to the CORINE LCU classification. Corine LCU contains 44 classes, and is presented as a cartographic product, at a scale of 1:100 000, polygons are wide and some classes are not precise enough. The most significant changes were done in the classes: broadleaved forest, mixed forest, pasture and natural grasslands





- It should be noted that for the engineering purpose, the only fully correct approach is to implement on field mapping.
- For cartography needs, various scientists use existing maps f.e. CORINE LCU. The scale and accuracy of CORINE LC database is not fully in accordance with erosion modelling.
- Taking in consideration the above, further detailed breaking of existing polygons into smaller ones according to the protection of erosion forces was derived through remote sensing techniques, using satellite images and aerial photo detection and validation through filed work.



Class forests

CET	$r \rho = c + E + T + T + T + T + T + T + T + T + T$	1	I	
7	Transitional woodland-shrub; (Degraded forests with eroded land)	0,5-0,7	1,0	<mark>0,5-0,7</mark>
9	Sparse broadleaved forests (depend of cover percent) 0,2-0,6	0.2-0.6	1.0	0.2-0.6
10	Coniferous, Broadleaved, Mixed Forests (Forest with good	0,05-	1,0	0,05 - 0,2
	structure)	0,2		





- According to CORINE LCU some area in the central zone of the country are classified as
- 321- natural grassland or 324 Sclerophyllous vegetation and somewhere as transitional woodland and shrubs. In fact, this area is bare and erosion processes are significant.

4		
3	Lowland grasslands in region vulnerable to desertification	0,85
6	Natural Grasslands; Sclerophyllous vegetation (Mountain	0,6
	pastures)	









NO	Conditions that affect the value of the coefficient X and a	Mean value					
		Х	а	X.a			
I Riv	I River basin or area before undertaking anti-erosion works and measures						
1	Open spaces with little or no vegetation (Completely bare, untilled land) and mined, dump and construction cites	1,0	1,0	1,0			
2	Arable land (Arable land tilled by plowing through and against)	0,9	1,0	0,9			
3	Lowland grasslands in region vulnerable to desertification	0,85	1,0	0,85			
4	Heterogeneous agriculture areas	0,8	1,0	0,8			
5	Permanent crops (Orchard and vineyards without ground vegetation)	0,7	1,0	0,7			
6	Natural Grasslands; Sclerophyllous vegetation (Mountain pastures)	0,6	1,0	0,6			
7	Transitional woodland-shrub; (Degraded forests with eroded land)	0,5-0,7	1,0	0,5-0,7			
8	Pastures; (Meadow areas under clover and similar perennial crops)	0,4	1,0	0,4			
9	Sparse broadleaved forests (depend of cover percent) 0,2-0,6	0.2-0.6	1.0	0.2-0.6			
10	Coniferous, Broadleaved, Mixed Forests (Forest with good structure)	0,05- 0,2	1,0	0,05 - 0,2			



•Final

•Xa map





Z – coefficient – raster map





Z – coef. – erosion categories

Legend

Erosion category

Settlements



The unacceptable level of erosion , the highest three classes (I-III), cover 834.130 ha or 33,57 % of the land territory



Wsp – mean annual specific production of erosive material

[m³/ha]







- Due to some disagreements with the investor UNEP and BFSD (first it was agreed to work only on a region vulnerable to desertification) as well as the short time for the project implementation, Western Macedonia as well as some other parts are not covered with filed trip in detail even though we had data from previous projects.
- - Due to the previously mentioned reasons, there was no time for delineation in detail terrains with rocks on the surface,
- High sources of erosive sediment (weathering, landslides, gullies) are also not delineated due to the scale of modeling where they are present as line od dot. .



γ – delineation of bare rocks *Kojcevska Tatjana – MSc thesis*







Accuracy of the scale of input parameters Bozin Trendafilov, MSc thesis





	W-clip	W-detail	increase
Minimum	4,88	27,21	5,58
MEAN	188,72	989,52	5,24
Maximum	2375,69	3381,28	1,97





Map – North Macedonia 2020

Map - Feasibility Study - 2022



Engineering designing torrents in the Western Macedonia - fluvial processes -



Gertsch, Lehmann, Spreafico, 2012







Blagodaram na vnimanieto

Thank you for your attention!





